

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/539,263 | 03/07/2006 | Quentin David Cook | 2858-1-008 | 9285 |
| 23565 KLAUBER & | 7590 12/06/2007 LACKSON | | EXAMINER | |
| 411 HACKENSACK AVENUE | | | SWARTHOUT, BRENT | |
| HACKENSACK, NJ 07601 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2612 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/06/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/539,263 | COOK ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Brent A. Swarthout | 2612 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| • • | / IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH/ | S) OD THIRTY (30) DAVS | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE | I. hely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 53 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. | 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | • | • | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | ır. | | | | | |
| 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | • | • | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | • | | | | |
| 12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) |)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11-21-05</u> . | | | | | | |

10/539,263 Art Unit: 2612

1. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 9 "a quick connection means" is indefinite since " a quick connection means" was already claimed on line 8, so it is unclear whether a new connection means is referred to or the previous connection means was referred to.

Such could be rectified by reference to first and second quick connection means.

On line 10 "the power supply means" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 8, line 1 it is unclear which "quick connection means" is being referred to;

On lines 3-4 a pair of conductors of the quick connection means is said to have "the stud conductor", but this is indefinite since the stud conductor is said to be part of the terminal in the means connecting to the mains in claims 6 and 7.

In claim 9, line 2 "the stud" has no antecedent basis.

In claim 11, lines 6-7 "a quick connection means " is unclear since the same means is claimed twice.

In claim 15, lines 5-6 it is unclear which "quick connection means" is being referred to.

In claim 19, line 1 it is unclear which "quick connection means" is being referred to, and on lines 3-4 "the pairs of conductors each comprising the stud conductor" is indefinite since it was previously stated in claim 17 that the stud conductor is part of a body of the means connecting to the mains, not part of the quick connection means.

In claim 20, line 2 "the stud" has no antecedent basis.

Application/Control Number:

10/539,263 Art Unit: 2612

- 2. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Odachowski, Sisselman, DeLuca, Fawcett, Belano, Hetherington, Webb, Goldstein(273), Goldstein(314), Messenger and Hall disclose detector power source connecting systems.
- 3. Claims 1-23 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brent A. Swarthout whose telephone number is 571-272-2979. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th from 6:00 to 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu, can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Brent A Swarthout Primary Examiner Art Unit 2612

Brent Snaubout

